Statement

LIST OF MEMBERS OF THE TRIP ARTITE STUDY GROUP ON FISHERMEN:

1.	Additional Secretary, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi.	Chairman
2.	Director General (Labour Welfare) Ministry of Labour, New Delhi.	Member-Secretary
3.	Representative of the Ministry of Agriculture	Member
4.	Representative of the Planning Commission.	Member
5.	Representative of the Ministry of Shipping & Transport	Member
6.	Representative of Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute	Member
7.	Representative of the Ministry of Commerce	Member

STATE GROVERNMENT

8.	Representative of the Government of Kerala	Member
9.	Representative of the Government of Maharashtra	Member
10.	Representative of the Government of Uttar Pradesh	Member
11.	Representative of the Government of West Bengal.	Member

CENTRAL EMPLOYERS' ORGANISATION

12.	Sh. Riaz Ahmed. M/s. Abad Fisheries, Post Box No. 313, Cochin—682 002	Member
13.	Sh. N. S. H. Prasad, Managing Director, Srinivasa Sea Foods Ltd. No. 12, Industries Development Area, Mindhi, Vishakhapatnam—530042	Member
14.	Secretary, All India Organisation of Employers, Federation House, Tansen Marg, NEW DELHI—110 001.	Member
15.	Mrs. R. M. Perit, Director, Konkan Fisheries Pvt. Ltd., Bhaktawar, 4th Floor, Nariman Point, Bombay—400 021.	Do.
16.	The General Secretary, United Trade Union Congress (LS), 48, Lenin Sarani, Calcutta	
17.	Sh. V. S. Saseendran (Advocate), Centre of Indian Trade Unions, Kerunagappatha,	Do.

KeraU.

18.	Sh. D. D. Shastri (Vice President, TUCC), 52/7, B. B. Bangoli Street, CALCUTTA.—700 012	M-m'.r
19.	Shri Mukut Roy Choudhury, Indian National Trade Union Congress, 12, N. N. Mukherjee Road, CALCUTTA—700 055.	Do.
20.	Ms. Naleeni Naik, P. C. O. Centre, Spencer Junction, Trivandrum—695 001.	Do.

Action against Mjs. Oswal Agro Mill* Limited

1077. PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: WiU the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state;

(a) whether the action against M|s. Oswal Agro Mills Limited in the beef tallow import case has been finalised Government;

(b) whether it i_s fact that Government > lack of interest in pursuing case of M/s Owal Agio Mills Limited fias suited 'in the dismissal of its appeal the Supreme' Court against the decision of Delhi High Court o_{ft} the ground that the appeal was barred $_s$inee *h* has been filed beyond the limitation period; and

lc) if so, whether any responsible keen fixed f^{or} ^{tne} inordinate delaj filing the appeal in Supreme Court »he prescribed limitation period?

THE MINISTER OF COMMER< AND FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER): (a) and lb) Special Leave Petition filed against order dated 19-4-1985 of Delhi H'gh Court staying operation of debarment of M_t Oswal Agro $Miil_s$ was dismissed by (he Supreme Court on 2-4-1986 as time barfed. The main petition of the firm is sub-judice.

fc) The matter is being looked into.

Review of Yloiu-Uu y Policy

1078. SHRI R, MOHANARANGAM Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleas ed to state-

fa) whether all the recommendation* of th_e Sukh;inioy Chakravarty Committee on review of monetary policy of Government have bee_n studied by Government;

(b) if >.*o,* how many of the recommendations (lave been imp'emented;

(c) whether it is $_a$ fuel that there ha* been a paradox in the matter of priority sector, i.e. on the one side the Reserve Bank of India is very stern in achieving th_e targets by the priority sector while the Sukhamoy Chakravarty has recommended against lendings to priority sector; and

(d) if so, ,what are the details in this regard'

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JA NARDHAN POOJAR1); (a) and (b) Government have studied [he various re commendations made by the Chakravarty Committee to Review the Working of the Monetary System. Government have al ready announced in the Budget- for 1986-87 acceptance, i_n principle, of the Commin "tmendations in regard to $chattg_e$ in the concept of budgetary deficit and setting overall monetary targets which can be monitored, for which an exercise to develop operationally meaningful targets is being undertaken on an experimen-f;it bails.

(c) There is n_0 paradox. I, i_s not $_a$ fact that Chakravarty Committee has made any recommendations against leadings to the priority sector. The Committee ha-, in fact, recommended that the credit delivery system should be strengthened with a view to provide adequate and timely fit. to target groups covered under priori*,; sector lending $_{anc}$| [hat this should